Punishment and Sentence Serving for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law

- Enforcement of the ICTY-imposed Sentences-

Filip Vojta

E-mail: filip.vojta@gmail.com

Contents:

1. General Overview: Regulative Framework & Previous Analyses

2. "The Next Step": Questions & Possibilities of Further Research

1. General Overview: Regulative Framework

→ ICTY Statute: Articles 27 & 28

Tribunal States

Article 27:

- enforcement in the national prison systems
- willingness of the State
- in accordance "with the applicable law of the State concerned"
- subject to the supervision of the Tribunal

Article 28: Pardon and Commutation

condition: eligibility under national law, but the final decision lies with the Tribunal

- supplement to the general provisions:
 - Rules of Procedure & Evidence (A. 103-104; 123-125)
 - Practice Direction for Designation of State
 - Practice Direction for Pardon, Commutation & Early Release

Agreements on the Enforcement of Sentences

- 17 States (Germany – 4 ad hoc Agreements)

- 47 Convicts

ICTY State Agreement

- transfer of the convicted person
- *- conditions of imprisonment
- *- pardon, commutation & early release
 - monitoring
 - termination of enforcement

Previous analyses:

- insufficient legal framework

- intrusion of the constitutional prerogatives

- "inequality of the prison treatment"
 - early release
 - conditions of imprisonment

2. "The Next Step":

- the main question:

"How are the ICTY-imposed sentences enforced through various national prison regimes?"

- wide-range; in depth; theoretical/empirical approach
- analysis of different areas on scale:

ICTY - State Authorities - Prison Institutions - Convicts"

Aims:

- -To what extent does such practice provide for the completion of the primary sentencing objectives of the Tribunal (retribution and deterrence) and rehabilitation? Which aspect is prevailing?
- To what degree can the equality of prison treatment; with regard to the conditions of imprisonment and substantive rights of the ICTY convicts in different countries, really be achieved?
- Does the existing mechanism present an adequate model which should be further maintained for the treatment of international offenders in the future (relation to the ICC)?
- -What impact does such enforcement have on the level of implementation of the international human rights law within the national prison systems in Europe?
- -What trends have developed within european prison regimes, with relation to the enforcement of the ICTY sentences?

Thank you for your time.